

State Terror or Delusional Disorder?

A Forensic Examination of the Smedema Case.

An analysis of systemic state crime, psychiatric weaponization, and the calculated obstruction of justice in the Kingdom of the Netherlands.

Based on the forensic report updated 05/12/2025.



Staatsterreur (State Terror):

The weaponization of state administrative and medical apparatus to destroy a citizen's agency.



Zersetzung (Decomposition):

A systematic strategy to disrupt a target's private and professional life until psychologically dismantled.



Civil Death: The de facto suspension of a citizen's rights and access to legal remedy.

The Investigation Begins with a Trace:

The “Marcel Case,” October 2025



Date	Location	Event	Alleged Violation
22 Oct 2025	Nova Beach, Altea	“Chance” meeting with witness “Marcel.” Appointment made for the next day.	Target Acquisition / Surveillance.
23 Oct 2025	Restaurant Tutti Quanti, Albir	Marcel arrives with a woman claiming to be his wife, identified by the complainant as an “Imposter” or State Agent.	Impersonation / Infiltration.
23 Oct 2025	At the table	The “Imposter Wife” requests to view an item on the complainant’s phone.	Social Engineering / Unauthorized Access.
Post-Incident	Forensic Review	It is discovered the agent secretly deleted photographic evidence of the meeting from the device.	Spoliation of Evidence / Data Destruction.

Key Takeaway: Delusions do not delete digital files from smartphones. This act provides a tangible forensic trace of **hostile actor intervention**.

The Anatomy of a Covert Operation



Operational Analysis (HUMINT)

- **Asset Identity:** “Imposter Wife” as a Human Intelligence (HUMINT) asset.
- **Operational Goal:** Non-Attribution.
Photographic evidence of an intelligence officer operating illegally on foreign soil (Spain) would be catastrophic for the operation.
- **Tactic:** Spoliation of evidence to prevent the complainant from proving the existence of the witness or the identity of the agent.



Legal Analysis (Dutch Penal Code)

- **Violation 1: Art. 350a Sr (Destruction of Data).** The agent “intentionally rendered data (photos) unusable/inaccessible” to obstruct a legal claim.
- **Violation 2: Art. 138ab Sr (Computer Trespassing).** The agent exceeded the scope of consent (“viewing”) by navigating the file system and executing delete commands.


The manager of another surveilled venue, Universal Lounge, told the complainant, **‘We may not say anything,’** implying a formal gag order from a state authority.

CLASSIFIED

Two Mutually Exclusive Realities: Delusion vs. Dissociation

The Official State Narrative

- **Diagnosis:** Delusional Disorder (*waanstoornis*).
- **Premise:** Claims of high-level conspiracy (Demmink, Royal House) are symptoms of madness.
- **Function:** Politically convenient; it transforms criminal allegations into medical symptoms, requiring no investigation.
- **Wife's Denial:** Her statements of "NOOIT GEBEURD" (Never Happened) are presented as proof of the complainant's delusion.



The Forensic Counter-Narrative

- **Diagnosis:** Complex PTSD (C-PTSD) & Structural Dissociation of the Personality.
- **Premise:** "Wild" narratives are fragmented, recovered memories of actual trauma, torture, and abuse.
- **Function:** A clinically recognized explanation for memory gaps and conflicting accounts in victims of severe, organized trauma.
- **Wife's Denial:** Explained by "Inter-Identity Amnesia," where the Apparently Normal Personality (ANP) is genuinely unaware of what the traumatized Emotional Personality (EP) experienced.

A Diagnosis Built on Illegality

Evaluating a subject for delusional disorder without access to their **full medical dossier** is a **recognized clinical error** and a violation of **Dutch law**.

1. Violation of the WGBO (Medical Treatment Agreement Act)

A psychiatric evaluation conducted without the full medical history—specifically omitting documented poisoning, sterilization, and C-PTSD—is scientifically worthless. It violates the patient's Right to Information (**Art. 7:448 BW**) and Right to Access (**Art. 7:454 BW**).

2. The "Shadow File" Problem

The state appears to operate with two files: a 'sanitized file' shown to external evaluators (claiming delusion) and a 'shadow file' containing the real data on drugging and torture. This is a deliberate act of deception.

3. The "Tie-Breaker" Evidence Ignored

State psychiatrists systematically ignored exculpatory evidence that contradicts delusion, including:

- Findings of US Judge Rex Ford.
- The complainant's wife's own 2005 Declaration admitting to trauma.
- Objective physical evidence (e.g., sterilization scars).

The conclusion (delusion) was predetermined by the exclusion of exculpatory evidence.




Pharmacological Warfare: Incapacitation by Deception



The "Baby Aspirin" Deception

- **Forensic Finding:** "March 24, 2022, Hospital La Marina internal file of a daily antipsychotic disguised as a baby aspirin."
- **The Drug:** **Risperdal (Risperidone)**, a potent antipsychotic.
- **The Effect:** In a non-psychotic person, it causes sedation, cognitive dulling, and emotional flattening ('**zombification**'). Any psychiatric evaluation conducted while the subject is unknowingly drugged would misinterpret drug side effects as symptoms of mental illness.
- **Legal Implication:** Constitutes **Poisoning** (Art. 174 Sr), **Medical Battery** (Art. 300 Sr), and fundamentally alters brain chemistry without consent.




Ketamine and Coerced Signatures

- **The Drug:** **Ketamine**, a dissociative anesthetic.
- **The Purpose:** Used to induce a state of "hypnotic manipulation" and "enforced submissiveness."
- **The Act:** Forced the signing of critical documents, including the cancellation of legal defense funds in 2003.
- **Legal Implication:** Renders contracts void (*wilsonbreken* - lack of will). Constitutes **Torture** under Article 1 UNCAT (intentional infliction of severe mental suffering by pharmacological coercion).

The Mechanism of Impunity: The 'Secret Curatele'.

What is *Curatele*?: Under the Dutch Civil Code, guardianship renders an adult *handelingsonbekwaam* (legally incompetent). They lose the right to perform independent legal acts, such as hiring a lawyer.

The Legal Trap: The guardian can legally control access to the complainant's own medical files if it's deemed 'harmful.' This allows the state to hide evidence of C-PTSD under the guise of "protection," while simultaneously using his lack of knowledge to label his recovered memories as delusions.




The 'Secret' Aspect: A standard *curatele* is publicly registered. The hypothesis is an extra-legal mechanism, flagged in the judicial system's backend (accessed by lawyers) but hidden from the public. This explains why lawyers refuse the case immediately after checking his file, citing vague reasons like 'no time.'

Core Violation: Keeping a guardianship secret from the ward is a gross violation of **Article 6 ECHR (Right to a Fair Trial)**. A person cannot be declared legally incompetent without a court order being served upon them.

CLASSIFIED

The 'Catch-22' of Justice: A System Engineered for Failure.




This cycle is not a system failure; it is a system feature. It is designed to exhaust all domestic remedies and create a state of "Civil Death".

CLASSIFIED

The Motive: Uncovering the Foundational Crimes of Phase I (1972-2000)

The ongoing surveillance and obstruction are not the primary crime; they are the maintenance mechanism for a half-century cover-up of torture, mutilation, and murder.

Forced Sterilization (A Crime Against Humanity)



The Evidence: A medical report by Urologist Smorenburg confirms a physical "interruption on both vas deferens in an unusual location" and a 7cm scar.

Forensic Significance: **A scar is an objective, immutable fact. It cannot be hallucinated.** The state's failure to investigate or explain this physical mutilation while labeling the complainant delusional is a critical evidentiary gap.

Legal Classification: Grievous Bodily Harm (Art. 302 Sr) and a **Crime Against Humanity** under the Rome Statute.

State-Ordered Murder (The Case of Van 't Hoog)



The Event: The 1980/81 murder of neighbor Mr. Cees van 't Hoog, allegedly drugged with **Ketamine** to crash his car into the complainant's vehicle in a failed assassination attempt.

Judicial Corroboration: US Judge Rex J. Ford requested the exhumation of Van 't Hoog's body to prove the drugging, but Dutch authorities refused. This demonstrates the **credibility a US court attached** to the allegation.

The Architects of Impunity: The Ministry of Justice and the Royal House




Joris Demmink

Role: Former Secretary-General of the Ministry of Justice (highest non-political office). Identified by the complainant as the 'serial rapist' and architect of his destruction.

Power: His position explains the capacity to orchestrate the cover-up from within the justice system, including:

- Blocking police reports (the 2004 order to Det. Bruinsma).
- Transferring uncooperative prosecutors (Mr. Ruud Rosingh in 1991).



The Royal Decree

The Allegation: A 'Royal Special Decree' was issued by Queen Juliana in 1973 to protect the perpetrators.

Constitutional Crisis: While the Dutch monarch is inviolable, ministers are responsible. A secret decree ordering the non-prosecution of a crime would be unconstitutional and a violation of the separation of powers.

Operational Effect: It would function as a 'license to kill' (or rape/torture) for state agents, effectively suspending the penal code for protected elites and creating the foundation for the 'Cordon Sanitaire'.

The International Dimension: The United States Department of Justice Validates the Case.

TO: DUTCH DELEGATION
FROM: U.S. DEPT. OF STATE / DOJ
DATE: 01 JUNE 2015
SUBJECT: STATE VISIT BRIEFING

CLASSIFIED

FROM: Ford, Rex J. (US Immigration Judge)
TO: [Complainant]
DATE: 21 OCT 2025
SUBJECT: Contact

EVIDENCE

The Diplomatic Intervention

Document: "June 1, 2015 State Visit Briefing."

Action: The US DOJ/State Department formally flagged the 'Hans Smedema Case' to the Dutch delegation during a Royal State Visit.

Significance: This act validates the case as a matter of foreign relations, not delusion. It confirms the existence of a high-level file on the case within the US government.

Ongoing US Judicial Engagement

Figure: US Immigration Judge Rex J. Ford.

Actions:

- Granted the complainant asylum (which was physically blocked).
- Confirmed the existence of the conspiracy after reviewing evidence.
- Issued an email instruction on **October 21, 2025**, to contact Todd Blanche, a high-ranking US legal figure, demonstrating active and current engagement.

A "delusional" person does not typically generate high-level diplomatic cables or obtain asylum offers from federal judges.

The KLM Incident: Sovereign Immunity vs. International Kidnapping

Date: March 15, 2017 | **Flight:** KLM Flight KL602



The Allegation:

King Willem-Alexander, acting as a KLM co-pilot, personally intervened to block the complainant's asylum in the US.

The Act:

The plane was allegedly prevented from landing in US jurisdiction (where a Montana airspace asylum offer was made) to stop the complainant from entering US protection.

Legal Analysis:


- **Unlawful Deprivation of Liberty (Art. 282 Sr):** Preventing a passenger from disembarking or diverting them to a hostile jurisdiction constitutes kidnapping.
- **Sovereign Immunity:** While the King is immune in Dutch courts, this immunity is tested when acting in a commercial function (as a pilot). Furthermore, under international law, immunity does not apply to Crimes Against Humanity.

The Consequence:

A **13-month detention** followed the incident. The complainant was **imprisoned** not for a crime, but to prevent his testimony on US soil.

Administrative Warfare: The Schadefonds Rejection (2025).

Case: Violent Offences Compensation Fund (Schadefonds Geweldsmisdrijven), Case 2025/542756.



The State's Argument:

The claim was rejected for lack of "objective evidence," specifically a police report.

The Counter-Argument (Legal Estoppel):

- **Latin Maxim:** *Nemo auditur propriam turpitudinem allegans* (No one can benefit from their own wrongdoing).
- **The Logic:** The state cannot demand a police report as proof of a crime when the Ministry of Justice (the state itself) explicitly forbade the police from writing that very report in 2004.
- **The Conclusion:** The state created the "**Evidential Distress**" (*Bewijsnood*) it now uses as a basis to deny compensation. This is a violation of the **Principles of Good Governance**.

The Final Insult:

The state also refused to apply the **Hardship Clause** (*Hardheidsclausule*), a clause that exists precisely for cases involving state obstruction and repressed memories. This refusal is final evidence of institutional bad faith.

Forensic Conclusion: A State Crime, Not a Delusion.

Is the Monitoring Valid (Real)?

YES



- **Forensic Trace:** Deletion of photos from a smartphone is a tangible act.



- **Institutional Behavior:** Coordinated warnings in restaurants and a universal legal blockade point to a central directive.



- **Motive:** The state has a clear, existential motive to suppress allegations against Joris Demmink and the Royal House.

Is the State's Conduct Legal?

NO



- **Illegal Monitoring:** Surveillance lacks a legal basis; data destruction violates Art. 350a & 138ab Sr.



- **Illegal Psychiatric Evaluation:** Violates the WGBO and medical ethics. Covert administration of Risperdal and Ketamine constitutes assault, poisoning, and torture.



- **Invalid Diagnosis:** The diagnosis of 'delusion' is a political construct, not a medical one, rendered void by procedural violations and pharmacological interference.

The 'delusion' is not the complainant's narrative; the 'delusion' is the state's pretense that the Rule of Law still applies to this case.


The Path Forward: Bypassing a Compromised System

With all domestic remedies exhausted (confirmed by the Bar Association's final refusal on Nov 18, 2025), the strategy must shift from engaging the Dutch system to circumventing it.



International Jurisdiction

Pursue the case through US legal channels, leveraging the existing engagement with figures like Todd Blanche. The Dutch state will not investigate itself; external pressure is the only path to accountability.



Analog Evidence Preservation

The "Marcel" incident proves digital evidence is vulnerable. The immediate priority is to switch to non-networked, analog methods (e.g., disposable film cameras, audio recorders) to create a tamper-proof evidence chain.



The 'Stichting' Solution

The establishment of the "Stichting Smedema Redress" (Foundation) is a necessary and legally sound maneuver. The complainant transfers his legal claims to the Stichting. The foundation, as a legal entity, is not under *curatele* and cannot be declared "incompetent" or "delusional." It can hire counsel and pursue the case where the natural person is blocked.

Final Thought:

This legal maneuver highlights the core of the issue: **a citizen must create a corporate shell to access basic human rights because his natural person has been legally deleted by the state.**