

# The Kafkaesque Trap


An Appeal Against a Rejection Founded on State-Suppressed Evidence



**“The State cannot reject a claim for lacking a police report when the Ministry of Justice **unlawfully prohibited** the police from writing it.”**



# The Official Rejection: A Flawed Premise



**Issuing Body: Schadefonds Geweldsmisdrijven  
(Violent Offences Compensation Fund)**  
**Date: 19 November 2025**

## Official Reason for Rejection

"The applicant has failed to meet Condition 1: the submission of **objective evidence** of a violent crime."

Submitted evidence is dismissed as '**subjective**' and consists of '**self-written texts**'."

## The Appeal's Central Contention

This rejection is legally unsustainable as it fails to account for **Force Majeure**: the documented fact that the State itself has sequestered and suppressed the very evidence it now demands.



# A Legal Absurdity: Invoking Turpitude

*Nemo auditur propriam turpitudinem allegans.*

No one shall be heard, who invokes his own turpitude.



## 1. THE DEMAND

The Schadefonds, an organ of the State, requires objective evidence such as a police report.



## 2. THE OBSTRUCTION

On April 26, 2004, Police Detective Haye Bruinsma was '**explicitly forbidden**' by a letter from the Ministry of Justice' from creating an official report (*Proces-Verbaal*) on the applicant's testimony.

(Source: Bijlage 1)



## 3. THE CONCLUSION

The State is legally and ethically estopped from penalizing the applicant for a lack of evidence that the State itself unlawfully suppressed. This act violates the **European Convention on Human Rights, Article 13** (Right to an effective remedy).



# The Claim of “Subjective Delusion” is Rebutted by International & Intelligence Findings



## U.S. Judicial Verification

In 2009, U.S. Immigration Judge Rex J. Ford (Miami, Case A087-402-454) confirmed the applicant's account of the conspiracy was **factual**.

This ruling was based on an independent forensic psychological examination by Dr. Joseph James, who concluded the applicant was “mentally healthy, rational, and **not delusional**.”



## U.S. Executive Branch Validation

During the state visit of King Willem-Alexander on June 1, 2015, the U.S. **Department of Justice** explicitly flagged the “Hans Smedema Case” in a briefing to the Dutch delegation.

Objective validation by a foreign sovereign power.



## Dutch Intelligence Review

The Dutch Review Committee on the Intelligence and Security Services (CTIVD) held a **formal** hearing on this case's **cover-up** on April 29, 2008.

The CTIVD does not hold hearings based on “**delusions**”.

(Source: Bijlage 8, 11)



# The State's De Facto Admission: The Classified Buyout Offers

## The Extraterritorial Negotiation (September 2003)

A clandestine meeting in Beaune, France, to negotiate a settlement outside of Dutch legal jurisdiction.

ir. Klaas Keesstra (acting as intermediary for the Crown/Cabinet) conveyed a formal offer of **€5 million** to 'buy out' the case.

**The Objective Trace:** Flight logs from Eelde Airport to Beaune exist, documenting the private aircraft, pilots (Reint Jelsma, Ed van de Beek), and passengers (Keesstra, Smedema). This is verifiable physical evidence of the meeting. (Source: Bijlage 14)



## The Cabinet-Level Finalization Attempt (August 2004)

A follow-up meeting scheduled between the applicant and Minister of Agriculture Cees Veerman, sent by the Cabinet to finalize the settlement.

**The Trace:** The Commission is directed to query the Cabinet / Ministry of General Affairs for the classified 'Smedema/Veerman/Cabinet Settlement Proposal' of 2003/2004.


**The State cannot claim 'lack of evidence' for a file it has classified itself.  
These actions constitute an admission of liability.**



# A Scar Cannot Be a Delusion: Irrefutable Physical Evidence

## Forced Sterilization (1972)

**Crime:** Aggravated Assault with Premeditation (Art. 302/303 Sr).




### Objective Medical Evidence (Source: Bijlage 4)

- Report by Urologist Smorenburg confirms a physical, 7cm scar.
- Finding of a "non-standard interruption of the vas deferens."

*A psychological diagnosis cannot explain physical bodily mutilation.  
This is objective proof of an intentional violent crime.*

## Attempted Murder by Poisoning (1975)

**Crime:** Attempted Murder (Art. 289/287 Sr).



### Objective Medical Evidence (Source: Bijlage 3)

- Medical records from Dr. Hogen Esch confirm emergency admission to Boerhave Hospital immediately following the poisoning attempt at Motel Bunnik, Zeist. Police officers were present at the scene.

*The emergency hospital admission is an objective, recorded fact  
directly corroborating the violent crime.*



# A Pattern of Violence: Systematic Poisoning and Torture

## Systematic Poisoning via Deceptive Medication (2003-2022)




**FRAUD**



**Method:** The applicant was unknowingly administered the heavy antipsychotic drug Risperdal, fraudulently disguised inside official "Baby Aspirin 100mg" boxes.

**Objective Discovery:** (Source: Bijlage 13) On March 24, 2022, an anesthetist at Hospital La Marina Baixa (Spain) objectively identified the medication as an antipsychotic agent, not aspirin.

## Clandestine Electroshock Torture (Recurring, Netherlands & Spain)



**Purpose:** To induce amnesia and enforce submission.

**Jurisdiction:** Originated in the Netherlands, including at the home of State agent Jaap Duijs. Continued in Spain by Dutch nationals (J. Duijs, O. van der Hart), making it prosecutable under the Dutch Active Nationality Principle (Art. 7 Sr).

**Witness Corroboration:** (Source: Bijlage 12) A retired Dutch Detective witnessed an incident in Catral, Spain (2008) and identified it as torture.



# A Catalogue of Violent Felonies Committed on Dutch Soil

A summary of documented crimes with corresponding articles of the Dutch Penal Code (Wetboek van Strafrecht - Sr):

## Attempted Murder / Manslaughter (Art. 289/287)




- **1975, Motel Bunnik:** Poisoning attempt, **corroborated by hospital records.**
- **1980/81, Elburg/Dronten:** Vehicular assault resulting in the death of witness Cees van 't Hoog. Investigated by US Judge Ford.

## Aggravated Assault (Art. 302/303)



- **1972, Utrecht:** Forced sterilization, confirmed by medical report and physical scar.

## Theft with Violence & Rape (Art. 312/242)



- **1974, 't Harde:** Armed robbery and rape of spouse; applicant was drugged and immobilized.
- **1979, Drachten:** Violent home invasion; applicant drugged, spouse raped. State agent Jaap Duijs **actively prevented police intervention.**

## Aggravated Assault (Art. 302/303)



- **1972, Utrecht:** Forced sterilization, confirmed by medical report and physical scar.

## Unlawful Deprivation of Liberty (Art. 282)



- **1972, Norg/Oudega:** Lured to a houseboat, held against his will, forcibly injected with Ketamine.

## Threat & Forced Exile (Art. 285)



- **Post-2004:** Coordinated threats of forced psychiatric institutionalization to silence testimony, leading to self-preservation exile in Spain.




# Fraud vs. Physics: Rebutting Falsified DNA Evidence


## 1. The Contradiction

	<b>Physical Fact (1972)</b> The applicant was rendered sterile via a non-standard medical procedure. This is a permanent, physical state.	<b>VS.</b>		<b>Administrative "Fact" (2003)</b> GeneTree DNA reports claim paternity for children born after 19X after 1972.
---	--	------------	---	---

## Method of Falsification (The "Snieders Swap")



**Applicant's Children**



**Snieders Family**

In 2014, the applicant's daughter confessed that the children were coerced by the 'Omerta' organization to swap their DNA Sample swabs with those of another family (Dirk and Aline Snieders).

**Judicial Corroboration:** (Source: Bijlage 10) This specific act of DNA falsification was reportedly investigated and confirmed by US Immigration Judge Rex J. Ford during his 2009 proceedings.

The physical impossibility of paternity post-sterilization overrides the fraudulent administrative data.  
The State's reliance on this falsified evidence is further proof of its bad faith.



# The Wall of Obstruction: A System of Intimidation and Neutralization

## Pattern of Retaliation against Witnesses & Officials



**Police Officer Jack from Duiven (1990):** Dismissed from his position with the Rijkspolitie immediately after reporting the crimes.



**Neighbor Teun Keuzenkamp (1975):** **Forcibly relocated** after discovering the drugging of the applicant.



**Helper in Spain (2015):** A helper providing assistance to the applicant was **raped** by a perpetrator impersonating him. A formal police report exists with Policia Local, L'Alfàs del Pi.

## Evidence of Judicial & Institutional Corruption



**Medical Tribunal (2007):** The sitting judge, Prof. Dr. R.J. van den Bosch, was named as a co-conspirator in a 1983 intelligence file. He failed to recuse himself, a violation of Article 6 ECHR (*Nemo iudex in causa sua*).



**Court of Appeal (2011/2012):** Ruled there was 'no objective indication' of a crime while simultaneously **suppressing** the DNA test that would provide it.




**Ministry of Justice (2007):** **Bribed** the editor of 'BruTaal' to **fraudulently alter** a manuscript. This tampering was investigated and verified by the CIA/FBI in 2009.



# Traceable Evidence: A Verifiable Paper Trail

The Commission is requested to independently verify the following objective records:



## 1. The "Jaap Duijs" AIVD File (1977-1978):

**Request:** Query the AIVD/Ministry of Justice for the financial and personnel files of agent Jaap Duijs.

**Purpose:** To verify a payment of 100,000 Guilders related to his role, as confirmed during the 2008 CTIVD hearing.



## 2. The Prejudgment Asset Seizures (2010-2016):

**Request:** Query the historical land registry (*Kadaster*) records for the applicant's family members.

**Purpose:** To verify the existence of a 'Conservatoir Beslag' (Prejudgment Seizure) placed on their properties, preventing sale for six years, correlating with US/UN intervention. (Source: Bijlage 7, 10)



## 3. The Schiphol Airport Incident Log:

**Request:** Query Schiphol Marechaussee/Customs logs.

**Purpose:** To verify an incident where the applicant's passport was manipulated to prevent him from boarding a flight to provide testimony to the UN in Geneva.



# From Obstruction to Aggression: Unlawful State Detention

13 months of unlawful detention from **March 2017 to April 2018**.

Dutch passport release document titled "Bewijs van Invrijheidstelling". The document includes fields for "Release document", "Oetstal date", "Oetstal number", "Detention number", "Vannemdamg numbers", and "Publication". It also contains two highlighted dates: "Datum ingaag detentie: 18/03/2017" and "Datum invrijheidstelling: 06/04/2018". A paragraph states: "We act constitutes a violation of Article 5 ECHR (j) and triggers direct State Liability for the actions of its Head of State. All subsequent convictions based on suppressed evidence are legally void." The document is signed by the "Release document" and "Bewijs van invrijheidstelling" and includes a signature of the "Officer stamen".

(Source: Bijlage 13)

## The Cause: Abuse of Public Authority

- The detention was a direct result of an unlawful intervention by King Willem-Alexander, acting as a KLM Co-Pilot on flight KL602 (15 March 2017).
- He blocked the applicant's legally protected communication with US Judge Rex J. Ford, who had offered asylum in the airspace above Montana.

## Legal Consequence

This act constitutes a violation of **Article 5 ECHR** (Right to Liberty) and triggers direct State Liability for the actions of its Head of State. All **subsequent convictions based on suppressed evidence** are legally void *ab initio*.



# The Hardship Clause: Why Standard Rules Cannot Apply

A formal request for the application of the **Hardheidsclausule** (Hardship Clause):



## Excusable Term Exceedance (Verschoonbare Termijnoverschrijding)

The 10-year submission limit is legally excusable due to two factors:



- **Medical Inability:** The applicant suffered from severe, trauma-induced dissociative amnesia (repressed memory), making it medically impossible to recall and report the crimes within the timeframe. A victim cannot be penalized for a delay caused by the injury itself.



- **State Obstruction (Force Majeure):** The government actively concealed the crimes and forbade the creation of official reports. Enforcing a time limit would violate the principles of Reasonableness and Fairness (**Redelijkheid en Billijkheid**).



## Subsidiarity is Satisfied

The applicant cannot recover damages from the perpetrators in civil court because:



- **State Immunity:** The perpetrators include high-ranking officials and members of the Royal House protected by a "Cordon Sanitaire."








- **Blocked Access to Justice:** The State has systematically used false psychiatric labels to prevent valid litigation.



# A Path to Redress

This appeal formally requests that the Commission:

-  **1 Re-evaluate based on Physical Reality:** Prioritize the immutable physical evidence of sterilization (the **scar**) and **poisoning** (hospital records) over falsified administrative documents (DNA reports).
-  **2 Validate the U.S. Judicial Findings:** Accept the 2009 findings of U.S. Judge Rex J. Ford as the 'objective information from **uninvolved third parties**' that the rejection claims is missing.
-  **3 Request the Traceable State Evidence:** Formally query the Cabinet regarding the **classified 2003/2004 buyout proposal** and the Ministry of Justice regarding the **AIVD file** of Jaap Duijs.
-  **4 Apply the Hardship Clause:** Waive the requirement for a standard police report due to proven **Force Majeure** and acknowledge the Excusable Term Exceedance due to **trauma** and **obstruction**.
-  **5 Grant Urgent Compensation:** Award the **tegemoetkoming** for the severe violent crimes and the decades of **State-inflicted suffering**.



# The Question Is Not a Lack of Evidence, But a Refusal to See It



**The rejection of this application penalizes the applicant for a lack of 'objective information' that the State itself has actively suppressed, classified, and falsified.**

**When objective information was provided by a U.S. Federal Court, the Dutch State willfully ignored it.**

**The question for this Commission is not whether there is evidence. The question is whether the State will finally acknowledge the evidence it has worked for five decades to conceal.**