

Evidentiary Item: April 2004 Police Obstruction (Refusal to file *proces-verbaal*)

Document	Not applicable. Evidence is established by legal inference from the subsequent 2005 Article 12 Procedure.
Authority	Dutch Police (Drachten), Det. Haye Bruinsma
Subject	Formal attempt to file charges with a detailed report of torture, abuse, and high-level conspiracy
Date of Event	April 23- September, 2004

Component 1: The Foundational Act of Obstruction

This event represents the first and most fundamental blockade of domestic remedies.

- **The Action:** On April 23, 2004, the complainant presented a detailed report of the alleged crimes to Detective Haye Bruinsma of the Drachten police.
 - **The Obstruction:** The complaint alleges that Detective Bruinsma was subsequently and explicitly **forbidden by the Ministry of Justice** from creating an official report, known as a *proces-verbaal*.
 - **The Significance:** This *proces-verbaal* is a **legally mandatory prerequisite** for any criminal investigation to be opened in the Netherlands. By preventing its creation, the State blocked the investigation before it could officially begin.
-

Component 2: Evidentiary Proof via Legal Inference

While there is no provided document of the refusal itself, the documented **2005 Article 12 procedure** (Case 05/00016) serves as the definitive, legal proof *that* this refusal occurred.

- **Legal Principle:** An "Article 12 procedure" under the Dutch Code of Criminal Procedure is a specific remedy used to challenge a decision by the police or Public Prosecutor **not to investigate or prosecute** a reported crime.
- **The Inference:** The very existence of the formal complaint to the Court of Appeal in Leeuwarden (filed circa 2005) legally presupposes that a prior refusal by the police or prosecutor—the April 2004 obstruction—had already taken place.

Conclusion

The April 2004 Police Obstruction is the foundational act of denial that necessitated all subsequent legal challenges. The evidence for this event is the existence of the **Article 12 procedure (Case 05/00016)**, which, by law, could only have been filed in response to this initial refusal to investigate.