

## **ANNEX 11 - FORENSIC TIMELINE DETAILING DIASANA MRI DIGITAL MANIPULATION AND DNA PROFILE SPOLIATION**

**RESPONDENT STATE PARTY:** The Kingdom of the Netherlands

**NATURE OF DOCUMENT:** Forensic Evidence of State-Sponsored Fraud, Spoliation of Evidence, and the Institutional Execution of "Civil Death" (*Burgerlijke Dood*)

**SUBMISSION FOR:** Individual Communication Under the Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)

### **DNA Profile Spoliation and the Destruction of Reputation (2003)**

- **Background Context:** In 1972, the Complainant was subjected to a forced, unconsented sterilization procedure, rendering biological paternity of his wife's subsequent children impossible. The children were allegedly fathered by state-protected individuals .
- **September 29, 2003 (The Execution of the Fraud):** To dismantle the Complainant's search for truth and deny him the evidence required for a fair hearing, a DNA paternity test through the American GeneTree DNA Testing Center (Case TO11226) was systematically compromised. Members of the Snieders family colluded to intercept and physically swap the DNA swabs. The DNA reports are available but both the children and the Snieders family have confessed, so no need for them.
- **The Motive (Reputational Annihilation - ICCPR Art. 17):** The fraudulent DNA results falsely indicated that the Complainant was the biological father. This forged document was the foundational pillar required for state-aligned psychiatrists to officially label the Complainant's claims as a "delusional disorder". By falsifying the biological reality, the State successfully destroyed his credibility, isolated him socially, and blocked his access to domestic legal counsel, thereby executing his "Civil Death".

### **The DiaSana MRI Hijacking and Digital Spoliation of Evidence (2006)**

1. **January 19, 2006 (Clinical Confirmation):** Urologist Dr. S. Smorenburg conducted a physical examination of the Complainant and confirmed in writing the presence of a 7cm scar and a palpable "gap" (interruption) on both vas deferens, substantiating the 1972 forced sterilization.
2. **May 17, 2006 (The DiaSana Intervention):** Seeking irrefutable visual documentation to present before the courts (essential for ICCPR Art. 14 Fair Trial rights), the Complainant scheduled a specialized MRI scan at Diagnostisch Centrum DiaSana in Mill.
3. **Operational Hijacking of the Clinic:** Because communications were actively monitored by state operatives, the State intervened to ensure this photographic evidence never entered the judicial record.
4. **Removal of Medical Personnel:** Frank Kemper, the original radiologist who intended to conduct the scan himself, was abruptly called away just one hour prior to the appointment and replaced by a substitute.
5. **The Body Double/Digital Forgery:** The resulting 82 MB digital MRI file stored on the DiaSana CD, along with the accompanying medical report, was completely fabricated. Through the use of a body double with the exact physical build of the Complainant or sophisticated digital image splicing, the State intentionally obscured all visual evidence of the 7cm scar and the severed vas deferens.
6. **Violation of Fair Trial (ICCPR Art. 14):** The official written report deliberately ignored the substitute radiologist's verbal observations of a black scar line and Dr. Smorenburg's earlier written findings. This state-sponsored spoliation of evidence permanently deprived the Complainant of the objective proof required to access justice.

### **Jurisprudential Significance under the ICCPR**

**1. Proof of *Bewijsnood* (Evidentiary Distress) and Estoppel (Article 14):** The domestic courts and administrative bodies (such as the Schadefonds Geweldsmisdrijven) continually reject the Complainant's claims citing a "lack of objective evidence". The DNA and MRI spoliation events invoke the legal principle of *Nemo auditur propriam turpitudinem allegans*—the State is legally estopped from demanding evidence that it actively intervened to falsify and suppress. The 82 MB DiaSana MRI file is the digital embodiment of systemic Obstruction of Justice.

**2. Supreme External Validation (US Federal Court, 2009):** During the Complainant's 2009 asylum proceedings in Miami, Florida, the 82 MB DiaSana CD and the surrounding evidence of falsification were presented to US Immigration Judge Rex J. Ford. Supported by extensive FBI and CIA investigations, the U.S. Federal Court found the Complainant's narrative to be highly credible, identifying five grounds for political asylum. This sovereign external validation empirically refutes the Dutch State's "delusional" label, proving the unlawful attack on his honor and reputation (ICCPR Article 17).

**3. State Capture and "Civil Death" (Article 16):** The strategic synthesis of the DNA spoliation and the DiaSana MRI digital fraud was designed to strip the Complainant of objective physical reality. By erasing the physical evidence of his trauma, the State guaranteed the Complainant would be fraudulently misdiagnosed by compromised psychiatrists. This pathologization was weaponized to enforce a *cordon sanitaire*—the universal refusal of legal counsel—thereby stripping him of his right to recognition as a person before the law (ICCPR Article 16).

**V. Demand for Digital Forensics and Restitution:** The Complainant demands that the Committee formally recognize this systemic spoliation of evidence as a violation of his civil rights. The Complainant further demands an independent, international digital forensics team to execute a metadata extraction and code analysis on the 82 MB DiaSana MRI file to unearth the digital artifacts and layer splicing that will empirically prove its fabrication 11, thereby restoring his right to a fair hearing and his legal personhood.